## S844/75/02

# Applications of Mathematics 

Paper 2

## Marking Instructions

These marking instructions have been provided to show how SQA would mark this specimen question paper.

> The information in this publication may be reproduced to support SQA qualifications only on a non-commercial basis. If it is reproduced, SQA should be clearly acknowledged as the source. If it is to be used for any other purpose, written permission must be obtained from permissions@sqa.org.uk.
> Where the publication includes materials from sources other than SQA (ie secondary copyright), this material should only be reproduced for the purposes of examination or assessment. If it needs to be reproduced for any other purpose it is the user's responsibility to obtain the necessary copyright clearance.

## General marking principles for National 5 Applications of Mathematics

This information is provided to help you understand the general principles you must apply when marking candidate responses to questions in this paper. These principles must be read in conjunction with the detailed marking instructions, which identify the key features required in candidate responses.

For each question the marking instructions are generally in two sections, namely generic scheme and illustrative scheme. The generic scheme indicates the rationale for which each mark is awarded. The illustrative scheme covers methods which are commonly seen throughout the marking. In general, markers should use the illustrative scheme and only use the generic scheme where a candidate has used a method not covered in the illustrative scheme.
(a) Marks for each candidate response must always be assigned in line with these general marking principles and the detailed marking instructions for this assessment.
(b) Marking should always be positive. This means that, for each candidate response, marks are accumulated for the demonstration of relevant skills, knowledge and understanding: they are not deducted from a maximum on the basis of errors or omissions.
(c) If a specific candidate response does not seem to be covered by either the principles or detailed marking instructions, and you are uncertain how to assess it, you must seek guidance from your team leader.
(d) Credit must be assigned in accordance with the specific assessment guidelines.
(e) One mark is available for each • There are no half marks.
(f) Working subsequent to an error must be followed through, with possible credit for the subsequent working, provided that the level of difficulty involved is approximately similar. Where, subsequent to an error, the working for a follow through mark has been eased, the follow through mark cannot be awarded.
(g) As indicated on the front of the question paper, full credit should only be given where the solution contains appropriate working. Unless specifically mentioned in the marking instructions, a correct answer with no working receives no credit.
(h) Candidates may use any mathematically correct method to answer questions except in cases where a particular method is specified or excluded.
(i) As a consequence of an error perceived to be trivial, casual or insignificant, eg $6 \times 6=12$ candidates lose the opportunity of gaining a mark. However, note the second example in comment ( $\mathbf{j}$ ).
(j) Where a transcription error (paper to script or within script) occurs, the candidate should normally lose the opportunity to be awarded the next process mark, eg

(k) Horizontal/vertical marking

Where a question results in two pairs of solutions, this technique should be applied, but only if indicated in the detailed marking instructions for the question.

## Example:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} 
& \bullet 5 & \bullet 6 \\
\bullet 5 & x=2 & x=-4 \\
\bullet 6 & y=5 & y=-7
\end{array}
$$

Horizontal: ${ }^{\bullet 5} x=2$ and $x=-4 \quad$ Vertical: ${ }^{\bullet 5} x=2$ and $y=5$

$$
{ }^{6} y=5 \text { and } y=-7 \quad \bullet^{6} x=-4 \text { and } y=-7
$$

Markers should choose whichever method benefits the candidate, but not a combination of both.
(I) In final answers, unless specifically mentioned in the detailed marking instructions, numerical values should be simplified as far as possible, eg:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{15}{12} \text { must be simplified to } \frac{5}{4} \text { or } 1 \frac{1}{4} & \frac{43}{1} \text { must be simplified to } 43 \\
\frac{15}{0 \cdot 3} \text { must be simplified to } 50 & \frac{4 / 5}{3} \text { must be simplified to } \frac{4}{15} \\
\sqrt{64} \text { must be simplified to } 8^{*} &
\end{array}
$$

*The square root of perfect squares up to and including 100 must be known.
(m) Unless specifically mentioned in the marking instructions, the following should not be penalised:

- Working subsequent to a correct answer
- Correct working in the wrong part of a question
- Legitimate variations in numerical answers/algebraic expressions, eg angles in degrees rounded to nearest degree
- Omission of units
- Bad form (bad form only becomes bad form if subsequent working is correct), eg $\left(x^{3}+2 x^{2}+3 x+2\right)(2 x+1)$ written as $\left(x^{3}+2 x^{2}+3 x+2\right) \times 2 x+1$
$2 x^{4}+4 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+4 x+x^{3}+2 x^{2}+3 x+2$ written as $2 x^{4}+5 x^{3}+8 x^{2}+7 x+2$ gains full credit
- Repeated error within a question, but not between questions or papers
(n) In any 'Show that...' question, where the candidate has to arrive at a required result, the last mark of that part is not available as a follow-through from a previous error unless specified in the detailed marking instructions.
(o) All working should be carefully checked, even where a fundamental misunderstanding is apparent early in the candidate's response. Marks may still be available later in the question so reference must be made continually to the marking instructions. The appearance of the correct answer does not necessarily indicate that the candidate has gained all the available marks.
(p) Scored-out working which has not been replaced should be marked where still legible. However, if the scored out working has been replaced, only the work which has not been scored out should be marked.
(q) Where a candidate has made multiple attempts using the same strategy and not identified their final answer, mark all attempts and award the lowest mark.

Where a candidate has tried different valid strategies, apply the above ruling to attempts within each strategy and then award the highest resultant mark.

For example:

| Strategy 1 attempt 1 is worth 3 <br> marks. | Strategy 2 attempt 1 is worth 1 mark. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 1 attempt 2 is worth 4 <br> marks. | Strategy 2 attempt 2 is worth 5 <br> marks. |
| From the attempts using strategy 1, <br> the resultant mark would be 3. | From the attempts using strategy 2, <br> the resultant mark would be 1. |

In this case, award 3 marks.

## Marking instructions for each question



| Question |  |  | Generic scheme | Illustrative scheme | Max mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | (a) | (i) | Ans: $(\bar{x}=) 48 \cdot 7$ <br> - 1 Process: calculate mean | - ${ }^{1}(\bar{x}=) 48.7$ | 1 |
|  |  | (ii) | Ans: $(s=) 1 \cdot 24$ <br> - 2 Process: calculate $(x-\bar{x})^{2}$ <br> -3 Strategy: substitute into formula <br> - 4 Process: calculate standard deviation | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet 20 \cdot 81,0 \cdot 16,2 \cdot 25,0 \cdot 64,3 \cdot 24, \\ & 0 \cdot 64 \\ & \bullet 3 \sqrt{\frac{7 \cdot 74}{5}} \\ & \bullet 4(s=) 1 \cdot 24 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | (b) |  | Ans: Two valid comments. <br> -1 Communication: comment regarding the mean <br> -2 Communication: comment regarding standard deviation | -1 On average, the athlete's times have increased training with the coach. <br> - ${ }^{2}$ The athlete's times are more consistent after training with the coach. | 2 |
| 4 |  |  | Ans: Pie chart constructed <br> -1 Strategy/process: interpret graph and state fraction for each type of car <br> -2 Process: calculate angles <br> -3 Process/communication: construct pie chart | -1 $\frac{30}{150}, \frac{65}{150}, \frac{55}{150}$ or equivalent <br> $\bullet^{2} 72^{\circ}, 156^{\circ}, 132^{\circ}$ <br> -3 Pie chart completed with labels | 3 |


| Question |  | Generic scheme | Illustrative scheme | Max mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | (a) | Ans: 252 (boxes) <br> - 1 Strategy: consider three options <br> -2 Process: find number of boxes for one option <br> - Process/communication: find at least one other option and state maximum | -1 evidence <br> -2 210 or 210 or 252 <br> -3 252 | 3 |
|  | (b) | Ans: £2.49 <br> -1 Process: calculate total cost of sending boxes <br> - 2 Process: calculate cost per handbag | ${ }^{-1} £ 1755+252 \times £ 2 \cdot 99=£ 2508 \cdot 48$ <br> - ${ }^{2} £ 2508 \cdot 48 \div 252 \div 4=£ 2 \cdot 49$ | 2 |


| Question |  | Generic scheme | Illustrative scheme | Max mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | (a) | Ans: (£)4269-20 <br> -1 Process: calculate first rate National Insurance <br> -2 Process: calculate second rate National Insurance <br> -3 Process: calculate annual National Insurance contributions | - ${ }^{1} 0.12 \times(42380-8060)=$ $4118 \cdot 40$ <br> -2 $0.02 \times(49920-42380)=$ <br> - ${ }^{3} 4118 \cdot 40+150 \cdot 80=4269 \cdot 20$ | 3 |
|  | (b) | Ans: (£)2857-33 <br> -1 Process: calculate pension contribution <br> -2 Process: calculate annual net salary <br> -3 Process: calculate monthly net pay | - ${ }^{1} 0.09 \times 49920=4492 \cdot 80$ <br> - $249920-(4492 \cdot 80+4269 \cdot 20+$ $6870 \cdot 04)=34287.96$ <br> - ${ }^{3}(34287 \cdot 96 \div 12)=2857 \cdot 33$ | 3 |
|  | (c) | Ans: He will have enough. <br> -1 Process: calculate surplus/deficit <br> - ${ }^{2}$ Communication: make conclusion | $\begin{aligned} & \cdot 12857 \cdot 33-(750+450+625+ \\ & 125+350)=557 \cdot 33 \end{aligned}$ <br> ${ }^{\bullet}{ }^{2}$ Yes. He will have enough. | 2 |


| Question |  | Generic scheme | Illustrative scheme | Max mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | (a) | Ans: Route correctly drawn <br> -1 Process: calculate scale distances <br> -2 Process/communication: correct bearing measured and correct length drawn <br> -3 Process/communication: correct bearing measured and correct length drawn | - ${ }^{1} 22 \div 5=4.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ $37 \div 5=7 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> -2 Bearing of $045^{\circ}\left( \pm 1^{\circ}\right)$ measured correctly and $4.4 \mathrm{~cm}( \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~cm})$ correctly drawn <br> -3 Bearing of $170^{\circ}\left( \pm 1^{\circ}\right)$ measured correctly | 3 |
|  | (b) | Ans: $314\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ <br> - 1 Process: bearing consistent with diagram <br> Ans: $\mathbf{3 0 \cdot 5}$ (miles) <br> -2 Process: distance consistent with diagram | -1 314 <br> -2 6.1 cm so 30.5 miles | 2 |
|  | (c) | Ans: $\mathbf{2 0 . 2 6 ~ m p h ~}$ <br> - 1 Process: calculate total distance <br> -2 Process: calculate decimal time <br> - ${ }^{3}$ Process: calculate average speed | - $130 \cdot 5+22+37=89 \cdot 5$ <br> -2 8 hour 30 min -4 hour 5 min $=$ 4 hour 25 min $4 \frac{25}{60}=4 \cdot 416 \ldots$ <br> -3 $\frac{89 \cdot 5}{4 \cdot 416 \ldots}=20 \cdot 264 \ldots$ | 3 |


| Question |  |  | Generic scheme | Illustrative scheme | Max mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | (a) | (i) | Ans: \$183 <br> -1 Strategy: identify the costs not included <br> -2 Process: calculate the cost for card 1 | -1 \$32 and \$37 <br> -2 $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 4 + 3 2 + 3 7 = \$ 1 8 3}$ | 2 |
|  |  | (ii) | Ans: \$157 supported by working <br> ${ }^{\bullet 3}$ Strategy: identify the "missing" attraction and the two cheapest attractions <br> - 4 Process: calculate the cost for card 2 <br> ${ }^{-5}$ Process: state cost of card 3 <br> ${ }^{\bullet 6}$ Communication: state the cheapest price | -3 \$24, \$32 and \$30 <br> - 4 \$1 $+\$ 24+\$ 32+\$ 30=\$ 157$ <br> - 5 \$180 <br> - 6 \$157 | 4 |
|  | (b) |  | Ans: $£ 1$ gives $\$ 1 \cdot 555$ or $\$ 1$ gives £0•643 <br> - 1 Strategy: evidence of knowing to divide <br> -2 Process: state rounded answer | -1 $157 \div 100.96$ or $100 \cdot 96 \div 157$ <br> - ${ }^{2}$ £ 1 gives $\$ 1 \cdot 555$ or $\$ 1$ gives £0.643 | 2 |


| Question |  | Generic scheme | Illustrative scheme | Max mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | (a) | Ans: 9(m) <br> - 1 Strategy/process: use Pythagoras Theorem to calculate hypotenuse <br> -2 Process: calculate diameter | -1 25 <br> - $225-16=9$ | 2 |
|  | (b) | Ans: $118 \cdot 2\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ <br> -1 Strategy: triangle - semi circle <br> -2 Process: find the area of the pond <br> -3 Process: calculate the area to be covered with chips | -1 evidence <br> - $\frac{1}{2} \times \pi \times 4 \cdot 5^{2}=31 \cdot 808 \ldots$ <br> -3 $150-31 \cdot 808 \ldots=118 \cdot 191 \ldots$ | 3 |
|  | (c) | Ans: (£)613.83 <br> -1 Process: Calculate weight of chips required <br> -2 Process: Calculate number of bags required <br> -3 Process: Calculate cost | -1 $118 \cdot 2 \div 20 \times 1000=5910$ <br> -2 $5910 \div 25=236 \cdot 4,237$ bags <br> - ${ }^{3} 237 \times 2 \cdot 59=613 \cdot 83$ | 3 |


| Question |  | Generic scheme | Illustrative scheme | Max mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | (a) | Ans: 32 candles <br> -1 Strategy: know how to use ratio <br> -2 Process: find total amount of wax used <br> - Process: find number of candles <br> Alternative Strategy: <br> -1 Strategy: know how to use ratio <br> -2 Process: finds volume of red wax available and volume of red wax in candle <br> - 3 Process: find number of candles | -1 evidence of knowing how to scale up the ratio <br> -2 $12000+4000+8000=$ $24000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ <br> -3 $24000 \div 729=32 \cdot 92 \ldots=32$ <br> -1 evidence of $3 / 6$ of 729 <br> - $212000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ and $364 \cdot 5$ <br> - $312000 \div 364 \cdot 5=32 \cdot 92$ rounded to 32 | 3 |
|  | (b) | Ans: (£)2•43/2•42 <br> - ${ }^{1}$ Process: find cost of wax plus wicks <br> -2 Process: add 65\% <br> -3 Process: find selling price of 1 candle | - ${ }^{1} 3 \times 13.75+32 \times 0.18=47.01$ <br> - $247 \cdot 01 \times 1 \cdot 65=77.57$ <br> ${ }^{3} 77 \cdot 57 \div 32=2 \cdot 424 \ldots=2 \cdot 43$ | 3 |


| Questi | Generic scheme | Illustrative scheme | Max mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (c) | Ans: no supported by working <br> -1 Strategy: knows how to find compound volume <br> -2 Strategy: substitute into cylinder formula <br> -3 Process: find volume of cylinder <br> - ${ }^{4}$ Strategy: substitute into cone formula <br> - 5 Process: find volume of cone <br> -6 Process: find the number of candles that can be made <br> -7 Communication: valid conclusion | -1 evidence <br> - ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~V}=\pi \times 3.5 \times 3.5 \times 12$ <br> -3 $461 \cdot 8$ (or $461 \cdot 58$ ) <br> - ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~V}=\frac{1}{3} \pi \times 3.5 \times 3.5 \times 4$ <br> - ${ }^{5} 51 \cdot 3$ <br> - $6461 \cdot 8+51 \cdot 3=513 \cdot 1$, <br> $12000 \div 513 \cdot 1=23 \cdot 38$ <br> -7 no he can't make 25 candles | 7 |

[END OF SPECIMEN MARKING INSTRUCTIONS]

